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**ABSTRACT**

The rapid advancement of e-commerce has piqued researchers' interest in identifying the determining factors of online purchase decisions. They have found abundant information about the influence of consumer attitudes but still have divergent results, indicating a gap in studies with mediating variables. The study aims to examine the mediating effect of the opinions of friends and reference groups on the relationships between consumer attitudes and online purchase decisions. The study surveyed 654 respondents and analyzed the data using partial least squares structural equations (PLS-SEM). The results show that consumers' attitudes significantly positively influence their online purchase decisions, which are mediated by the opinions of friends and influence groups. The opinions or recommendations of friends or other reference groups with similar purchasing experiences influence consumers. The research establishes a connection between constructs that influence online purchase decisions, with the main theoretical contribution being the analysis of the effect of friends' opinions and reference groups on generating a better consumer predisposition to make decisions in the virtual environment. The empirical contribution offers information that marketing managers should consider based on the results achieved, proposing strategies focused on reference groups that facilitate consumer decision-making.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The development of technology has generated radical changes in consumers' habits and behaviors (Ijaz et al., 2016). The global population's experiences during the pandemic, which brought the world to a standstill, have accelerated the advancement of electronic commerce (Sajid et al., 2022). This situation forced emerging countries, which had been slowly integrating digital tools, to accelerate the process and incorporate them into commercial activities. These strategies are essential today to meet the needs and demands of modern customers.

The studies carried out on the purchase decision have now turned to the digital environment, involving new generations, such as boomers, millennials, and centennials, who use social networks, applications, and online channels to make purchases (Rojas et al., 2020; Zirena-Bejarano et al., 2023). This context is of significant interest to companies because it currently represents the segment driving the market (Melović et al., 2021; Nurcahyono & Hanifah, 2023). During this transition, consumers search for internal information, which they may retain from positive or negative cognitive experiences related to a product or service, to inform their purchase decisions. Individuals seek external information when this information is insufficient (Schiffman & Kanuk, 2014). Gobinath and Gupta (2016) explain that individual reviews become consumers' information sources, impacting their decision-making process. Moreover, clients can quickly obtain vast information from the web, leading them to quickly compare prices, characteristics, and other people's experiences (Nurcahyono & Hanifah, 2023).

Among the purchase decision process determinants is the consumer's attitude, which identifies the value judgment the individual makes in a given situation, affecting their behavior (Fishbein, 1963) and identifying its affective, cognitive, and behavioral components (Maloney et al., 1975). The affective component generates a feeling that can be positive or negative; in the cognitive component, the consumer recognizes the need to acquire knowledge about the stimulus received, and so does the learning process. Finally, in the behavioral component, the consumer initiates and acts as the stimulus based on acquired knowledge (Abarna et al., 2023; Daems et al., 2019; Kowalczyk & Mitchell, 2022).

In analyzing the digital context, the previous review has generated changes in consumer behavior, especially in younger generations more predisposed to electronic commerce (Rojas et al., 2020). However, older generations fear the different risks generated by online operations (Nicolaou, 2023), and therefore, they prefer to buy in physical stores (Makhitha & Ngobeni, 2021). However, some studies have revealed a negative or non-significant effect of consumer attitude on purchasing decisions (Yin et al., 2020). Given this, we propose incorporating the influence of friends and reference groups into the study. According to social identity theories, an individual's transmission of information influences their peers, and the intensity or intensity of arguments determines the generation of favorable or unfavorable reactions (Wood, 2000). In addition, the concept of social value identifies individuals' concern for the impression they make on peer groups (Biswas & Roy, 2015).

On the other hand, Ding et al. (2020) argue that reference groups are an essential factor in the purchase decision in digital communities. Han and Kim (2018) assert that friends play a crucial role in people's lives, serving as a vital source of information that facilitates viable purchasing decisions. To our knowledge, there is no evidence of previous studies that analyzed such variables as mediators, creating a gap in the literature. In that sense, we believe that their recommendations strengthen individuals' preferences, particularly in younger generations, who are characterized by being more sociable, as stated by Dalziel & De Klerk (2020). Both constructs significantly influence customers' behavior during online decision-making (Al-Swidi et al., 2014). On the other hand, Hoonsopon and Puriwat (2016) argue that reference groups have a diverse impact on purchase intention under different drivers. This information generates interest in further research on the effect of these constructs on the purchase decision in digital environments, given that commercial activity is focusing on this context.

The current research's stated objectives highlight its significance. First, it aims to analyze the effect of consumer attitude on the online purchase decision. Second, it aims to determine the mediating role of friends in the relationship between consumer attitude and the purchase decision. Third, it aims to determine the mediating role of reference groups in the relationship between consumer attitude and online purchase decisions, answering whether this effect is consistent in online purchase transactions. The main contribution to the literature is the study of the theory linking consumer attitude to online purchase decisions, filling the gap in studies that address the effect of consumer attitude on purchase decisions in the digital medium in developing countries, as well as deepening the study for a better understanding of the influence of friends and reference groups on the online purchase decision.

The work is divided into five sections. The first section introduces the work, the second section develops the theoretical framework and hypothesis approach, and the third section proposes the research methodology. Then, the discussion of results and conclusions includes the theoretical and empirical implications, limitations, and future lines of research.

**THEORETICAL BACKGROUND**

**Online Purchase Decision**

The evolution of electronic commerce has led to the development of the purchasing decision process in digital environments, where customers can select between two or more available alternatives (Schiffman & Kanuk, 2014). This process recognizes two currents, according to the theory. This process recognizes two currents, according to the theory. Al-Abdallah et al. (2021) base the first process on rational decision-making, which involves identifying the problem or lack and evaluating alternatives before making a final decision. The other current study explores decision-making, proven through consumer behavior analysis, including purchasing attitudes (Dortyol et al., 2018; Li, 2014).

In this context, the decisions made by individuals in the virtual environment require information on characteristics, prices, quality, and forms of payment, among others, that enable them to make the final decision more easily (Dewi et al., 2020; Karimi et al., 2018). Social networks, web pages, applications, and other technological tools quickly provide this information (Huang & Benyoucef, 2017; Patro, 2023). Specifically, the quality, trust, and credibility of information found and shared on the web influence purchasing decisions (Bai et al., 2019). Moreover, younger generations value convenience in time and space to obtain references for products that facilitate the evaluation stage (Ruiz Mafé & Sanz Blas, 2006; Zirena-Bejarano et al., 2022).

**Attitude**

According to consumer behavior, attitude is considered a determinant, defined as the individual's evaluation in response to a stimulus (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1977). This evaluation can be positive or negative (Amaro & Duarte, 2015). Attitude refers to preferences, viewpoints, and feelings toward a particular product (Kotler & Amstrong, 2013; Ni & Ueichi, 2024). Additionally, Wu and Tsai (2017) suggested attitudes, according to the ABC model, have three fundamental components: affect, which refers to how the consumer feels about a product; behavior, which reflects the individual's intentions to act towards the object; and cognition, which represents the beliefs the customer holds about the product. These components can be summarized as the knowing, feeling, and acting of the client over the product (Khalil et al., 2020)

Many studies have examined attitudes as a component of the theory of planned behavior (TPB), which asserts that personal attitudes, social norms, and a sense of control condition an individual's behavior (Ajzen, 1991; Zirena-Bejarano & Chávez Zirena, 2023). However, some authors suggest that it is very complex to explain the relationship between attitude and consumer behavior (Wu et al., 2018) because it leaves out the affective and behavioral aspects (Chou et al., 2020; Raab et al., 2018). We should also consider an individual's attitude toward online marketing based on the risks they must assume when entering e-commerce (Makhitha & Ngobeni, 2021; Zirena-Bejarano et al., 2023). Therefore, we propose conducting further research to address this cognitive gap based on the following hypothesis:

**H1:** The consumer's attitude positively and significantly affects the online purchase decision.

**Friends**

Humans are social entities that need to communicate and share experiences. They also observe and imitate others' behavior to fulfill their desire for acceptance (Hammerl et al., 2016), which engages them in social influence (Salazar et al., 2013). This influence reaches virtual media, where recommendations have become essential for consumers to evaluate in the decision process (Kietzmann et al., 2011). Today's consumers, especially the younger generations, spend significant time searching for information on the web. On social networks, they share information with friends, co-workers, or study colleagues, indicating the importance they place on their opinions (Marino & Lo Presti, 2019; Pacheco et al., 2017). Studies have demonstrated that friends' opinions make the recommendations more credible than information transmitted by the company (Errmann et al., 2019; Willemsen et al., 2012). Furthermore, the opinions of other significant individuals can also influence purchase decisions, such that consumers may decide not to purchase a product due to fear of disapproval from friends (Thompson et al., 2017). On the other hand, Fei et al. (2020) maintain that the influence of friends and family can have a divergent effect on consumers' purchase decisions. Based on these arguments, the following hypothesis is proposed.

**H2:** Friends mediate the relationship between consumer attitude and online purchase decisions.

**Groups of reference**

Marketing specialists must consider decision-making as a fundamental aspect when designing strategies for the company. To achieve this, they must define reference groups, individuals who act according to established norms (Ding et al., 2020). Furthermore, Ding et al. (2020) conceptualize this as a process where individuals relate to groups by sharing interests and group values, leading consumers to purchase products they believe align with their reference group's values. Similarly, identifying with a reference group necessitates adopting a specific attitude and posture that acknowledges the group's affiliation, interests, values, and feelings (Abreu, 2019). Studies have also shown that reference groups influence individual purchasing behavior (Dalziel & De Klerk, 2020; Delgado, 2006) consumers consider groups with high credibility and experience as a source of influence (Childers & Rao, 1992; Ding et al., 2020). In this same context, Hammerl et al. (2016) argue that consumers must maintain consistent relationships by changing their beliefs about a product or brand according to the group's opinion. With these arguments, we propose the following hypothesis.

H3 Reference groups mediate the relationship between consumer attitude and online purchase decisions.

**Figure 1** *Theoretical Model*
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**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

**Population and Sample**

We selected a sample of 654 respondents using the probabilistic sampling technique of simple random sampling, asking people who typically make purchases on digital platforms to complete the questionnaire. We applied and sent a 36-item Likert-type questionnaire through Google Forms. We collected the information after conducting a pilot study with 20 respondents and analyzing the results for reliability. After verifying the instrument's relevance, we conducted fieldwork and collected 720 responses, closely reviewing 654 of them as valid. This number exceeded the required sample size when calculated for an infinite population.

**Measures**

We measured the constructs analyzed using scales validated in previous studies. We reviewed several scales on the proposed variables and adapted them to a seven-point Likert-type scale, where one represents disagreement and seven represents agreement.

**Consumer attitude:** Defined as a set of beliefs, knowledge, experiences, and emotions that reflect an individual's favorable or unfavorable evaluation of a stimulus that can be a product, idea, or event (Sarabia-Andreu & Sarabia-Sánchez, 2018). This construct was measured using a 10-item scale adapted from (Brand et al., 2020).

**Purchase decision:** Identified as recognizing the need, recognizing the problem, searching for information, evaluating alternatives, and making the final decision embodied in the final purchase (Prasad et al., 2019). Based on the theory of Kotler and Amstrong (2013), Sarabia-Andreu and Sarabia-Sánchez (2018) identified influencing factors such as social, personal, psychological, and cultural. The scale was adapted from the research conducted by Rojas et al. (2020) and consisted of 18 questions.

**Friends:** The consumer socialization process leads to friends' opinions influencing the purchase decision. This variable has been measured from four items of the questionnaire adapted from Al-Nahdi et al. (2015)

**Groups of reference**: The consumer socialization process leads to friends' opinions, influencing the purchase decision. We also measured the variable using four items adapted from Al-Nahdi et al. (2015).

**Control Variables:**

The control variables considered were age and gender, with a sample of 44% men and 56% women.

**Analysis Techniques**

For this research, the modeling of structural equations with partial least squares was carried out using Smart PLS version 3.3.5 software that facilitates the study of constructs in the social sciences (Chin & Dibbern, 2010). The applied technique made it possible to evaluate the relationship between the consumer attitude construct and the online purchase decision construct in the initial model. Then, we incorporated the friend constructs and reference groups as mediating variables to measure the indirect effects on the dependent construct (Hair et al., 2019a). In the first stage, we design the measurement model by analyzing simultaneous equations in two stages and evaluating the factor loads of the items that form the proposed constructs. Here, we evaluate the reliability and validity of the construct indicators. The second stage evaluates the structural model by analyzing the relationships between the cause and effect variables (Hair et al., 2020). Finally, the bootstrapping procedure is carried out, creating subsamples with randomly extracted observations and processing the information to test the statistical significance of the model coefficients estimated in PLS-SEM (Hair et al., 2019a).

**RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

**Descriptive Results**

We applied various statistical techniques in the analysis of the research data. In the first phase, a descriptive analysis was carried out with the mean, standard deviation, and correlations between the proposed constructs shown in Table 1; in the second phase, the measurement model was evaluated; and finally, the structural model.

**Table 1** *Descriptive and correlation analysis of the variables.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Mean | SD | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. Consumer attitude | **4.316** | **1.246** | **1** |  |  |  |
| 2. Friends | **4.266** | **1.573** | **0.732\*\*** | **1** |  |  |
| 3. Reference Groups | 4.454 | 1.632 | 0.680\*\* | 0.786\*\* | 1 |  |
| 4. Online purchase decision | 4.429 | 1.367 | 0.768\*\* | 0.716\*\* | 0.711\*\* | 1 |

\*\* The correlation is significant at the p< 0.01 bilateral level.

Source: self-made

**Evaluation of the Measurement Model**

For the analysis of the measurement model, the reliability and validity of the proposed variables were evaluated, considering Cronbach's alpha as the first indicator with values higher than the proposed threshold of 0.70; concerning the composite reliability, there are also values higher than 0.70 as a level of acceptance (Chin & Dibbern, 2010). Regarding the convergent validity, the values are higher than 0.50, demonstrating that the indicators substantially explain the measurement variable (J. F. Hair et al., 2011). Concerning discriminant validity, the Fornell and Lacker criteria were used, reaching values shown in bold on the diagonal higher than the rest of the values in the column. Likewise, according to the literature, these data can be confirmed by applying the Hetero-trait-monotrait (HTMT) ratio, with results more remarkable than those that can be observed in the upper rows, proving that the proposed constructs have discriminant validity (Henseler et al., 2015). Table 2 displays these data.

**Table 2** *Analysis of the Constructs' Reliability, Convergent, and Discriminant Validity*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Internal consistency** | | **Conver-validity** | **Discriminant validity** | | | |
|  | Cronbach's Alpha | Composite Reliability | Fornell and Larcker and HTMT | | | |
|  |  |  | AVE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. Consumer attitude | 0.893 | 0.913 | 0,519 | **0.720** | 0.798 | 0.745 | 0.808 |
| 2.Friends | 0.909 | 0.936 | 0.787 | 0.726 | **0.887** | 0.896 | 0.785 |
| 3.Reference Groups | 0.938 | 0.956 | 0.844 | 0.692 | 0.828 | **0.919** | 0.769 |
| 4.Online purchase decision | 0.959 | 0.963 | 0.593 | 0.753 | 0.734 | 0.730 | **0.770** |

Source: self-made

Table 3 displays the four variables' internal consistency and cross loads, with each indicator's external load exceeding its cross loads, as bolded. According to the literature, the values are below the limit value of 5 (Hair et al., 2019a), and none of the indicators reach critical levels of collinearity.

**Table 3** *Discriminant Validity Analysis by Cross-Loading*

|  |  | Cross Loads | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Consumer attitude | Influence of friends | Influence of reference groups | Online purchase decision |
| **Consumer attitude** |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Attitude | **0.787** | 0.598 | 0.578 | 0.624 |
| 2 Attitude | **0.788** | 0.558 | 0.532 | 0.615 |
| 3 Attitude | **0.787** | 0.604 | 0.588 | 0.620 |
| 4 Attitude | **0.512** | 0.362 | 0.299 | 0.376 |
| 5 Attitude | **0.589** | 0.408 | 0.369 | 0.431 |
| 6 Attitude | **0.452** | 0.327 | 0.309 | 0.375 |
| 7 Attitude  8 Attitude | **0.788**  **0.808** | 0.554  0.597 | 0.535  0.569 | 0.580  0.585 |
| 9 Attitude | **0.778** | 0.549 | 0.525 | 0.558 |
| 10 Attitude | **0.799** | 0.571 | 0.562 | 0.573 |
| **Friends** |  |  |  |  |
| 11 friends | 0.633 | **0.786** | 0.671 | 0.644 |
| 12 friends | 0.653 | **0.776** | 0.730 | 0.645 |
| 13 friends | 0.666 | **0.794** | 0.751 | 0.648 |
| 14 friends | 0.622 | **0.804** | 0.784 | 0.666 |
| **Reference groups** |  |  |  |  |
| 15 GroupsRef | 0.629 | 0.872 | **0.891** | 0.655 |
| 16 GroupsRef | 0.648 | 0.754 | **0.919** | 0.679 |
| 17 GroupsRef | 0.629 | 0.753 | **0.939** | 0.668 |
| 18 GroupsRef | 0.638 | 0.760 | **0.926** | 0.681 |
| **Online Purchase Decision** | |  |  |  |
| 19 Decision | 0.597 | 0.600 | 0.577 | **0.716** |
| 20 Decision | 0.560 | 0.564 | 0.532 | **0.728** |
| 21 Decision | 0.584 | 0.607 | 0.539 | **0.715** |
| 22 Decision | 0.617 | 0.562 | 0.531 | **0.754** |
| 23 Decision | 0.625 | 0.548 | 0.517 | **0.759** |
| 24 Decision | 0.583 | 0.613 | 0.567 | **0.751** |
| 25 Decision | 0.599 | 0.533 | 0.530 | **0.776** |
| 26 Decision | 0.599 | 0.599 | 0.582 | **0.805** |
| 27 Decision | 0.545 | 0.516 | 0.528 | **0.752** |
| 28 Decision | 0.549 | 0.560 | 0.559 | **0.786** |
| 29 Decision | 0.579 | 0.588 | 0.585 | **0.829** |
| 30 Decision | 0.621 | 0.550 | 0.531 | **0.799** |
| 31 Decision | 0.558 | 0.553 | 0.626 | **0.795** |
| 32 Decision | 0.569 | 0.552 | 0.627 | **0.818** |
| 33 Decision | 0.574 | 0.548 | 0.601 | **0.785** |
| 34 Decision | 0.530 | 0.556 | 0.556 | **0.751** |
| 35 Decision | 0.555 | 0.544 | 0.568 | **0.754** |
| 36 Decision | 0.577 | 0.560 | 0.553 | **0.777** |

Source: self-made

The data presented in Tables 2 and 3 confirm the validity of the measurement model, as the variables and their indicators align with the parameters recommended by the literature (Hair, Hult, et al., 2019a). Therefore, the next step involves evaluating the structural model.

**Evaluation of the Structural Model**

The variance inflation factor (VIF) was used to check for collinearity in the structural model. The values were below the limit value of 5 (Hair, et al., 2019b), which means that none of the indicators reached critical levels of collinearity.

**Influence of consumer attitude on online purchase decisions**

Table 4 presents the data of the evaluation related to the direct relationship of the proposed model; initially, the evaluation of the consumer's attitude and the online purchase decision was carried out, reaching β= 0.736\*\*\* with a significance of p<0.001, which determines a positive and significant effect with an R2 of 0.583\*\*\*, accepting hypothesis H1.

**Table 4** *Analysis of the Direct Relationship Model*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Relationship | Direct effect | 95% confidence interval | R2 | Significance |
| Consumer attitude🡪 Online purchase decision | 0.736 | 0.690-0.779 | 0.583\*\*\* | 0.000\*\*\* |

\*\*\*≤0.001

Source: self-made.

**Influence of friends in the relationship of the consumer's attitude in the online purchase decision**

The mediation analysis explores the strength and significance of the beta and R2 coefficients of the purchase decision variable. Hypothesis H2 shows that the influence of friends mediates the relationship between consumer attitude and online purchase decision, and hypothesis H3 demonstrates that reference groups mediate the relationship between consumer attitude and online purchase decision (Baron & Kenny, 1986).

The first condition indicates that there must be a significant relationship between the independent variable consumer attitude and the dependent variable online purchase decision, see figure 3, a positive and significant effect is observed on the online purchase decision with a β=0.736\*\*\* and p<0.001, so the first condition is accomplished.

The second condition indicates that there must be a relationship between the independent variable consumer attitude and the mediating variable influence of friends and reference groups; as shown in figure 3, this condition is met, showing a positive and significant effect of the variable influence of friends β=0.726\*\*\* and p<0.001 and the influence of reference groups with β=0.692\*\*\* and p<0.001.

The third condition indicates that there must be a relationship between the mediating variable and the dependent variable, as can be seen in graph 2, this condition is fulfilled since the results of the variables influence of friends β=0.213\*\*\* and p<0.001, and the variable influence of the reference groups have a β=0.254\*\*\* and p<0.001, the third condition is accomplished.

The fourth condition indicates that when the independent variable, mediators, and dependent variable are integrated into the same model, the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable must be substantially reduced. As shown in figure 3, H1 establishes an initial effect of the consumer attitude variable with the online purchase decision with a β=0.736\*\*\*, and when the mediating variables influence of friends and reference groups are incorporated, we have a β=0.408\*\*\* and p<0.001. Thus, the fourth condition proposed by (Baron & Kenny, 1986) confirms and accepts the hypothesis H2 and H3.

**Table 5** *Analysis of the Mediation Model*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Relation | Direct Effect | 95% confidence Interval | R2 | | Significance  (p < 0.001) | |
| Consumer attitude🡪 friends | 0.726 | 0.672-0.767 |  | <0.001\*\*\* | |
| Friends🡪online purchase decision | 0.213 | 0.114-0.304 |  | | <0.001\*\*\* | |
| Consumer attitude🡪reference groups | 0.692 | 0.638-0.738 |  | | <0.001\*\*\* | |
| Reference groups 🡪online purchase decision | 0.254 | 0.161-0.351 |  | | <0.001\*\*\* | |
| Consumer attitude 🡪 online purchase decision | 0.408 | 0.325-0.497 | 0.671\*\*\* | | <0.001\*\*\* | |
|  | Indirect effect | 95% confidence Interval |  | | Significance  (p < 0.001) | |
| Consumer attitude 🡪 friends 🡪 Online purchase decision | 0.154 | 0.082-0.224 |  | | <0.001\*\*\* | |
| Consumer attitude 🡪 reference groups 🡪 Online purchase decision | 0.176 | 0.112-0.241 |  | | <0.001\*\*\* | |

\*sig≤0.05; \*\*sig≤0.01; \*\*\*sig≤0.001;

Source: self-made.

The following table summarizes the relationships evaluated in the study, and Figure 3 shows these results graphically.

**Table 6** *Summary of the research model*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dependent variable:  Purchase decision | Friends | Reference groups | Purchase decision | R2 |
| Consumer Attitude |  |  | 0.736\*\*\* | 0.583 |
| **Mediation** |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer Attitude | 0.726\*\*\* | 0.692\*\*\* | 0.408\*\*\* | 0.671 |
| Friends |  |  | 0.213\*\*\* |  |
| Reference groups |  |  | 0.254\*\*\* |  |
| Change in R2 |  |  |  | 15.09% |

\*sig≤0.05; \*\*sig≤0.01; \*\*\*sig≤0.001;

Source: self-made.

**Figure 2** *Direct Relationship**Results*
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**Figure 3** *Indirect Relationships**Results*
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**DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS**

This study demonstrates the role of the consumer's attitude in the online purchase decision and how the influence of friends and reference groups mediates it. The results of the initial model measuring the effect of consumer attitude on the online purchase decision show that the more positive the client's attitude, the greater their predisposition to buy the product, as Shirazi et al. (2022) proposed. Similarly, Errmann et al. (2019) found a positive effect between the consumer's attitude and the influence of friends and family on the online purchase decision. Errmann et al. (2019) stated that including the construct of friends' influence in the structural model detected a significant indirect effect. We also included the construct of reference groups, demonstrating a positive relationship between the consumer's attitude and the reference groups, which aligns our study with previous proposals (Abreu, 2019; Dalziel & De Klerk, 2020).The integral structural model showed a significant indirect effect of the consumer's attitude on the online purchase decision. Additionally, the significance of the initial relationship decreases under the influence of friends and reference groups, confirming the mediating effect between the consumer's attitude and the online purchase decision, thus fulfilling the objective set.

The findings reveal that friends and reference groups sharing information through comments and recommendations in virtual media can facilitate online purchase decisions (Lăzăroiu et al., 2020; Zirena-Bejarano et al., 2022). The literature reveals that consumers regard the opinions of solid ties as more credible than those of weaker ties. Therefore, we presume that friends' comments significantly influence the final decision (Koo, 2016). Additionally, reference groups facilitate the online purchase decision by providing consumers with credible sources of accurate information. When uncertainty arises, individuals recognize these groups as a reference and use their information to make the final decision (Dalziel & De Klerk, 2020).

Specifically, our findings lead us to understand consumer behavior better when they consider the information shared by their friends and reference groups regarding their previous purchase experiences with products that interest them. This improves the evaluation process and leads to more successful decision-making in the online environment. The organization's marketing managers should consider these findings.

**Conclusions**

The study contributes to a better theoretical understanding of the proposed variables: consumer attitude (Ajzen & Fishbein, 1977), the influence of friends and reference groups in online purchase decisions (Ajzen, 1991; Akroush & Al-Debei, 2015; Gao et al., 2012). The study establishes a connection between these variables by incorporating an initial model linking the consumer's attitude to the online purchase decision and a second model incorporating the mediating variables of friends and reference groups. The study demonstrates that companies and consumers who consider the information shared by both groups will find the online decision-making process facilitated. To our knowledge, previous studies have not jointly studied the proposed variables as we propose in this work, which makes our proposal unique.

The results show the importance of individual participation in communication with friends and reference groups, especially when there are cognitive limitations regarding a particular situation. Consumers can share information with their friends, who can then socialize and disseminate it (Ding et al., 2020). An individual may have much information about a particular product that identifies a specific type of attitude of interest to their friends or reference groups, generating a probability of change or influence on them (Kozitsin, 2023). Following that line of reasoning, friends and reference groups offer credibility and trust in sharing their shopping experiences, and this information is easily accessible through virtual channels, such as social networks, applications, and others. In addition, reference groups offer information on lifestyles, consumed products, qualities, and characteristics that consumers consult in uncertain circumstances and when they need credible information (Sugito et al., 2019). The information obtained by the reference groups increases customer knowledge, generating confidence and ease in the online purchase decision-making process (Hoonsopon & Puriwat, 2016; Yin et al., 2019).

The research also makes a practical contribution to marketing managers of organizations. Companies should pay close attention to the influence of friends and reference groups and include them in their strategies when targeting products for younger generations. This confirms the results proposed by Dalziel and De Klerk (2020). To make this viable, we should create virtual spaces where communities of friends and reference groups can meet share information, comments, and purchase experiences on various products and services. These spaces can display various information that builds knowledge in consumers, especially those of younger generations who spend many hours connected to virtual environments.

However, the study has certain limitations. The research is cross-sectional, and given the speed of change in technology and the virtual world, it is challenging to carry out longitudinal studies, leading to varied results over time. Another identified limitation is that the study occurred in an emerging country with specific connectivity difficulties, in contrast to more developed countries where this issue does not exist. Nonetheless, analyzing the results to understand how the variables behave under the exposed characteristics is interesting.

Future research lines should analyze the behavior of different generations, as generational characteristics may influence consumer reactions to online shopping moments.

**Conflict of interests**

None

**Expressions of Gratitude**

The authors thank the Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa for their support in developing this project.

**References**

Abarna, K. R., Vijayalakshmi, V., & Andal, V. (2023). Impact of Risk and Trust on the Purchase Decision of Online Shopping Customers- a Study With Reference To Chennai City. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, *8*(4), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i4.1150

Abreu, C. (2019). Análisis estructuralista de la teoría de los grupos de referencia. *Agora: Papeles de Filosofía*, *39*(1), 59–80. https://doi.org/10.15304/ag.39.1.5679

Ajzen. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, *50*, 179–211. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T

Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1977). Attitude-behavior relations: A theoretical analysis and review of empirical research. *Psychological Bulletin*, *84*(5), 888–918. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.84.5.888

Akroush, M. N., & Al-Debei, M. M. (2015). An integrated model of factors affecting consumer attitudes towards online shopping. *Business Process Management Journal*, *21*(6), 1353–1376. https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-02-2015-0022

Al-Abdallah, G., Khair, N., & Elmarakby, R. (2021). The Impact of Social Networking Sites on Luxury Vehicles Purchase Decision Process in Gulf Cooperation Council Countries. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, *33*(5), 559–577. https://doi.org/10.1080/08961530.2020.1867023

Al-Nahdi, T. S., Habib, S. A., Abu Bakar, A. H., Bahklah, M. S., Ghazzawi, O. H., & Al-Attas, H. A. (2015). The Effect of Attitude, Dimensions of Subjective Norm, and Perceived Behavior Control, on the Intention to Purchase Real Estate in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, *7*(5), 120–131. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijms.v7n5p120

Al-Swidi, A., Huque, S. M. R., Hafeez, M. H., & Shariff, M. N. M. (2014). The role of subjective norms in theory of planned behavior in the context of organic food consumption. *British Food Journal*, *116*(10), 1561–1580. https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-05-2013-0105

Amaro, S., & Duarte, P. (2015). An integrative model of consumers' intentions to purchase travel online. *Tourism Management*, *46*, 64–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2014.06.006

Bai, C., Kusi-Sarpong, S., Bradi Ahmadi, H., & Sarkis, J. (2019). Social Sustainable Supplier Evaluation and Selection : A Group Decision Support Approach. *International Journal of Production Research*, *57*(22), 7046–7067. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1574042

Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research. Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *51*(6), 1173–1182. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173

Biswas, A., & Roy, M. (2015). Leveraging factors for sustained green consumption behavior based on consumption value perceptions: Testing the structural model. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *95*, 332–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.02.042

Brand, C., Schwanen, T., & Anable, J. (2020). 'Online Omnivores' or 'Willing but struggling'? Identifying online grocery shopping behavior segments using attitude theory. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *57*(June), 102195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102195

Childers, T. L., & Rao, A. R. (1992). The Influence of Familial and Peer-Based Reference Groups on Consumer Decisions. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *19*(2), 198. https://doi.org/10.1086/209296

Chin, W. W., & Dibbern, J. (2010). Handbook of Partial Least Squares. In *Handbook of Partial Least Squares* (pp. 171–193). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-32827-8

Chou, S. F., Horng, J. S., Sam Liu, C. H., & Lin, J. Y. (2020). Identifying the critical factors of customer behavior: An integration perspective of marketing strategy and components of attitudes. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *55*(March), 102113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102113

Daems, K., De Pelsmacker, P., & Moons, I. (2019). The effect of ad integration and interactivity on young teenagers' memory, brand attitude and personal data sharing. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *99*(May 2018), 245–259. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.05.031

Dalziel, R. C., & De Klerk, N. (2020). Media and group influence on Generation Y consumers' attitudes towards beauty products. *Spanish Journal of Marketing - ESIC*, *25*(1), 115–136. https://doi.org/10.1108/SJME-12-2019-0104

Delgado, O. (2006). El grupo de referencia y su influencia en el comportamiento del consumidor. *Saberes. Revista de Estudios Jurídicos, Económicos y Sociales*, *4*(0), 20. https://revistas.uax.es/index.php/saberes/article/view/780/736

Dewi, C. K., Mohaidin, Z., & Murshid, M. A. (2020). *Determinants of online purchase intention : a PLS-SEM approach : evidence from Indonesia*. *14*(3), 281–306. https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-03-2019-0086

Ding, S., Lin, J., & Zhang, Z. (2020). Influences of reference group on users' purchase intentions in network communities: From the perspective of trial purchase and upgrade purchase. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *12*(24), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410619

Dortyol, I. T., Coskun, A., & Kitapci, O. (2018). *A Review of Factors Affectin Turkish consumer Behaviour*. Marketing Management in Turkey. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-78714-557-320181010

Errmann, A., Seo, Y., Choi, Y. K., & Yoon, S. (2019). Divergent Effects of Friend Recommendations on Disclosed Social Media Advertising in the United States and Korea. *Journal of Advertising*, *48*(5), 495–511. https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2019.1663320

Fei, X., You, Y., & Yang, X. (2020). "We" are Different: Exploring the Diverse Effects of Friend and Family Accessibility on Consumers' Product Preferences. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *30*(3), 543–550. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcpy.1152

Fishbein, M. (1963). An Investigation of the Relationships between Beliefs about an Object and the Attitude toward that Object. *Human Relations*, *16*(3), 233–239. https://doi.org/10.1177/001872676301600302

Gao, J., Zhang, C., Wang, K., & Ba, S. (2012). Understanding online purchase decision making: The effects of unconscious thought, information quality, and information quantity. *Decision Support Systems*, *53*(4), 772–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DSS.2012.05.011

Gobinath, J., & Gupta, D. (2016). Online reviews: Determining the perceived quality of information. *2016 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics, (Icacci)*, 412–416. IEEE https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACCI.2016.7732080

Hair, J. F., Hult, M., Ringle, C., Sarstedt, M., Castillo Apraiz, J., Cepeda Carrión, G. A., & Roldán, J. L. (2019a). Manual de partial least squares structural equation modeling (*PLS-SEM*). OmniaScience. https://doi.org/10.3926/OSS.37

Hair, J. F., Howard, M. C., & Nitzl, C. (2020). Assessing measurement model quality in PLS-SEM using confirmatory composite analysis. *Journal of Business Research*, *109*(November 2019), 101–110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.11.069

Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, *19*(2), 139–152. https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202

Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019b). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, *31*(1), 2–24. https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203

Hammerl, M., Dorner, F., Foscht, T., & Brandstätter, M. (2016). Attribution of symbolic brand meaning: the interplay of consumers, brands and reference groups. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, *33*(1), 32–40. https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-12-2014-1243

Han, M. C., & Kim, Y. (2018). How Culture and Friends Affect Acceptance of Social Media Commerce and Purchase Intentions: A Comparative Study of Consumers in the U.S. and China. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, *30*(5), 326–335. https://doi.org/10.1080/08961530.2018.1466226

Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *43*(1), 115–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8

Hoonsopon, D., & Puriwat, W. (2016). The effect of reference groups on purchase intention: Evidence in distinct types of shoppers and product involvement. *Australasian Marketing Journal*, *24*(2), 157–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2016.05.001

Huang, Z., & Benyoucef, M. (2017). The effects of social commerce design on consumer purchase decision-making: An empirical study. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, *25*, 40–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2017.08.003

Ijaz, M. F., Tao, W., Rhee, J., Kang, Y. S., & Alfian, G. (2016). Efficient digital signage-based online store layout: An experimental study. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *8*(6), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/su8060511

Karimi, H., Taylor, T. R., Dadi, G. B., Goodrum, P. M., & Srinivasan, C. (2018). Impact of Skilled Labor Availability on Construction Project Cost Performance. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, *144*(7), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)co.1943-7862.0001512

Khalil, J., Horgan, J., & Zeuthen, M. (2020). The ABC Model: Clarifications and Elaborations. *Terrorism and Political Violence*, *00*(00), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2020.1776703

Kietzmann, J. H., Hermkens, K., McCarthy, I. P., & Silvestre, B. S. (2011). Social media? Get serious! Understanding the functional building blocks of social media. *Business Horizons*, *54*(3), 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2011.01.005

Koo, D. M. (2016). Impact of tie strength and experience on the effectiveness of online service recommendations. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, *15*, 38–51. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ELERAP.2015.12.002

Kotler, P., & Amstrong, G. (2013). *Fundamentos de Marketing*. Pearson ed.

Kowalczyk, C. M., & Mitchell, N. A. (2022). Understanding the antecedents to luxury brand consumer behavior. *Journal of Product and Brand Management*, *31*(3), 438–453. https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-09-2020-3126

Kozitsin, I. V. (2023). Opinion dynamics of online social network users: a micro-level analysis. *Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, *47*(1), 1–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/0022250X.2021.1956917

Lăzăroiu, G., Neguriţă, O., Grecu, I., Grecu, G., & Mitran, P. C. (2020). Consumers' Decision-Making Process on Social Commerce Platforms: Online Trust, Perceived Risk, and Purchase Intentions. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*(May), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00890

Li, C. (2014). A tale of two social networking sites: How the use of Facebook and Renren influences Chinese consumers' attitudes toward product packages with different cultural symbols. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *32*, 162–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CHB.2013.12.004

Makhitha, K. M., & Ngobeni, K. M. (2021). The impact of risk factors on south african consumers' attitude towards online shopping. *Acta Commercii*, *21*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.4102/ac.v21i1.922

Maloney, M. P., Ward, M. P., & Braucht, G. N. (1975). A revised scale for the measurement of ecological attitudes and knowledge. *American Psychologist*, *30*(7), 787–790. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1037/h0084394

Marino, V., & Lo Presti, L. (2019). Stay in touch! New insights into end-user attitudes towards engagement platforms. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, *36*(6), 772–783. https://doi.org/10.1108/JCM-05-2018-2692

Melović, B., Šehović, D., Karadžić, V., Dabić, M., & Ćirović, D. (2021). Determinants of Millennials' behavior in online shopping – Implications on consumers' satisfaction and e-business development. *Technology in Society*, *65*(December 2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101561

Ni, S., & Ueichi, H. (2024). Factors influencing behavioral intentions in livestream shopping: A cross-cultural study. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, *76*(October 2023), 103596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103596

Nicolaou, C. (2023). Generations and Branded Content from and through the Internet and Social Media: Modern Communication Strategic Techniques and Practices for Brand Sustainability—The Greek Case Study of LACTA Chocolate. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.3390/su15010584

Nurcahyono, N., & Hanifah, A. (2023). Determinant of Intention to Purchase Halal Cosmetics: A Millennial and Z Generation Perspective. *International Journal of Islamic Business Ethics*, *8*(1), 12. https://doi.org/10.30659/ijibe.8.1.12-25

Pacheco, L., Da Silva, M. T., Brites, M. J., Henriques, S., & Damásio, M. J. (2017). Patterns of European youngsters' daily use of media. *Observatorio*, *11*(4), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.15847/obsobs11420171107

Patro, C. S. (2023). Consumers' Digital Shopping Experience. *International Journal of E-Business Research*, *19*(1), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.4018/ijebr.318475

Prasad, S., Garg, A., & Prasad, S. (2019). Purchase decision of generation Y in an online environment. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, *37*(4), 372–385. https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-02-2018-0070

Raab, C., Baloglu, S., & Chen, Y. S. (2018). Restaurant Managers' Adoption of Sustainable Practices: An Application of Institutional Theory and Theory of Planned Behavior. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*, *21*(2), 154–171. https://doi.org/10.1080/15378020.2017.1364591

Rojas, G. C., Myreyle, E., & Zirena, C. (2020). Social media influencer: Influencia en la decisión de compra de consumidores millennial, Arequipa, Perú. *Revista Venezolana de Gerencia*, *August*. https://doi.org/10.37960/rvg.v25i3.33370

Ruiz Mafé, C., & Sanz Blas, S. (2006). Influencia de las motivaciones en la decisión de compra y en la lealtad hacia internet. *Investigaciones Europeas de Dirección y Economía de La Empresa (IEDEE)*, *12*(3), 195–215. https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=2244254

Sajid, S., Rashid, R. M., & Haider, W. (2022). Changing Trends of Consumers' Online Buying Behavior During COVID-19 Pandemic With Moderating Role of Payment Mode and Gender. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *13*(August). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.919334

Salazar, H. A., Oerlemans, L., & Van Stroe-Biezen, S. (2013). Social influence on sustainable consumption: Evidence from a behavioural experiment. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, *37*(2), 172–180. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2012.01110.x

Sarabia-Andreu, F., & Sarabia-Sánchez, F. J. (2018). Do implicit and explicit attitudes explain organic wine purchase intention?: An attitudinal segmentation approach. *International Journal of Wine Business Research*, *30*(4), 463–480. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJWBR-09-2017-0063

Schiffman, L., & Kanuk, L. (2014). *Consumer Behaviour*. Pearson Education. https://books.google.com.pe/books/about/eBook\_Instant\_Access\_for\_Consumer\_Behavi.html?id=U6OpBwAAQBAJ&redir\_esc=y

Shirazi, F., Hajli, N., Sims, J., & Lemke, F. (2022). The role of social factors in purchase journey in the social commerce era. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *183*(February), 121861. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121861

Sugito, S., Lubis, A. N., Rini, E. S., & Absah, Y. (2019). Influence of reference group indicators to the image of modern retail (case in Indonesia ). *Junior Scientific Researcher*, *4*(2), 67–79. https://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/92356/

Thompson, M., Cassidy, L., Prideaux, B., Pabel, A., & Anderson, A. (2017). Friends and relatives as a destination information source. *Advances in Hospitality and Leisure*, *13*, 111–126. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1745-354220170000013011

Willemsen, L. M., Neijens, P. C., & Bronner, F. (2012). The Ironic Effect of Source Identificationon the Perceived Credibility of Online Product Reviewers. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *18*(1), 16–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2012.01598.x

Wood, W. (2000). Attitude change: persuasion and social influence. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *51*(February 2000), 539–570. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.51.1.539

Wu, H. C., Cheng, C. C., & Ai, C. H. (2018). An empirical analysis of green switching intentions in the airline industry. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, *61*(8), 1438–1468. https://doi.org/10.1080/09640568.2017.1352495

Wu, S. I., & Tsai, H. T. (2017). A Comparison of the Online Shopping Behavior Patterns of Consumer Groups with Different Online Shopping Experiences. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, *9*(3), 24-38. https://doi.org/10.5539/ijms.v9n3p24

Yin, C. Y., DU, F., & Chen, Y. (2020). Types of green practices, hotel price image and consumers' attitudes in China: the mediating role of consumer skepticism. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, *29*(3), 329–357. https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2019.1640162

Yin, H., Wang, Q., Zheng, K., Li, Z., Yang, J., & Zhou, X. (2019). Social influence-based group representation learning for group recommendation. *Proceedings - International Conference on Data Engineering*, *2019*-*April*, 566–577. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDE.2019.00057

Zirena-Bejarano, P., Chavez Zirena, E., & De La Gala Velasquez, B. (2023). Indirect determinants of online purchase decisions: A case study of different generations in Peru. *Innovative Marketing*, *19*(1), 151–161. https://doi.org/10.21511/im.19(1).2023.13

Zirena-Bejarano, P., & Chávez Zirena, E. M. (2023). Mediating Effect of Subjective Norms in the Relationship Between Attitude and Online Purchase Decision. *Indian Journal of Marketing*, *53*(7), 30–45. https://doi.org/10.17010/ijom/2023/v53/i7/170032

Zirena-Bejarano, P., Myreyle Chávez Zirena, E., & Ramón De La Gala-Velásquez, B. (2022). Determining the impact of brand value on the credibility of influencers over the purchase decision of millennial consumers. *Innovative Marketing*, *18*(2), 135–147. https://doi.org/10.21511/im.18(2).2022.12

**APPENDIX**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **ATTITUDE** |
| 1Actitud | Shopping online is so much fun for me. |
| 2Actitud | I associate online shopping as a recreational activity. |
| 3Actitud | I like to try new and different applications, services or online shopping technologies. |
| 4Actitud | Shopping online can be stressful. |
| 5Actitud | Shopping online becomes frustrating for me |
| 6Actitud | I feel that shopping online is unreliable and can lead to scams. |
| 7Actitud | Online shopping is tedious and complicated |
| 8Actitud | Online purchases consume a lot of my time |
| 9Actitud | When I shop online, I feel like I'm running a high risk. |
| 10Actitud | Shopping online is informative |
|  | **INFLUENCE OF FRIENDS** |
| 11Friends | My friends think that I should shop online as much as they do. |
| 12Friends | My friends wish I could shop online more often. |
| 13Friends | My friends support my online shopping. |
| 14Friends | My friends agree that buying online is a quick and efficient decision |
|  | **INFLUENCE OF GROUPS OF REFERENCE** |
| 15 GroupsRef | The referral groups I follow shop online all the time. |
| 16 GroupsRef | The referral groups I follow wish I could shop online. |
| 17 GroupsRef | The reference groups I follow suggest that I shop online. |
| 18 GroupsRef | The reference groups that I follow motivate me to continue shopping online. |
|  | **PURCHASE DECISION** |
| 19 Decision | I usually buy new products on the recommendation of other people. |
| 20 Decision | A national product or service recommendation has more impact than an international one. |
| 21 Decision | I change my way of acting due to the influence of my social environment. |
| 22 Decision | My opposition to a reference group generates rejection towards related brands. |
| 23 Decision | When I buy a product from a certain brand, it gives me a higher status. |
| 24 Decision | The products I consume reflect my status in society. |
| 25 Decision | I trust people my age more when looking for a product recommendation. |
| 26 Decision | Over the years I am more selective when buying. |
| 27 Decision | The publicity that I am looking for must be directed to a certain social group. |
| 28 Decision | I take into account current fashion and trends when buying a product. |
| 29 Decision | I seek product recommendations before I buy based only on my personal judgment. |
| 30 Decision | I take into account the values ​​of the person who recommends a product to me. |
| 31 Decision | I look for brands that motivate me to be better |
| 32 Decision | I seek to satisfy my needs with brands that give me prestige |
| 33 Decision | I choose brands that transmit their own identity and not copies |
| 34 Decision | I interact with brands that they can learn something from. |
| 35 Decision | After a purchase from a microentrepreneur brand I feel good |
| 36 Decision | I prefer to buy from a brand of micro-entrepreneurs because of the message they convey |
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